

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,431	05/22/2001	Shalaby Wahba Shalaby	00537-183002	4602
75	90 11/20/2002			
Brian R Morrill Biomeasure Incorporated 27 Maple Street Milford, MA 01757			EXAMINER	
			BERMAN, ALYSIA	
williord, MA 0	1/3/		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/762,431	CORBETT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alysia Berman	1617			
Period fo	- Th MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	ugust 2002 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
· _	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-10,13-18 and 20-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	;				
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6.</u> 4	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra	adamark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/762,431

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statements filed January 7, 2002 and January 24, 2002. Claims 1-28 are pending.

Lack of Unity

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1, 11, 12 and 19, and the species tartaric acid in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no indication is given as to the reasoning behind the allegation of lack of unity of each group with the others of Groups 1-XVII and that the each of the groups formed in the International Preliminary Examination Report meet the criterion of relating to a single inventive concept and each group has a technical relationship that involves at least one common or corresponding technical feature. The examiner notes that the Preliminary Examination Report was performed by the European Patent Office and not United States. This is not found persuasive because it was stated in the Office Action mailed January 28, 2002, paper no. 7, that the claims lack a general inventive concept because the technical feature common to all of the claims, an absorbable polymer, is not a special technical feature that would distinguish the claims over the art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 2-10, 13-18 and 20-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,431 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed January 24, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Those U.S. and foreign publications that are readily available to the examiner through the electronic databases have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "An absorbable polymer according to claim 1" in

1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 1 recites an absorbable **polyester**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

Claims 1, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shogren, R. L., Complexes of starch with telechelic poly(ε-caprolactone) phosphate, Carbohydrate Polymer 22 (1993):93-98.

Shogren discloses phosphorylated poly(ε-caprolactones). See the title and the abstract. The limitations in the preamble of claim 1 of an absorbable polyester and of claim 19 directed to the use of the polyester of claim 1 do not render the claims patentable. The absorbability of the polyester is an inherent property and is not given patentable weight. See US 5,635,216 (216), column 3, lines 55-61.

Terms merely setting forth an intended use for, or a property inherent in, an otherwise old composition do not differentiate the claimed composition from those of the prior art. *In re Pearson*, 181 USPQ 641. Difference in use cannot render claimed composition novel. *In re Tuominen*, 213 USPQ 89. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP §2112.01. The burden is shifted to Applicant to show that the prior art product does not inherently possess the same properties as instantly claimed product.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,431 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alysia Berman whose telephone number is 703-308-4638. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 9:00 am and 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 or 703-872-9307 for after-final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234 or 703-308-1235.

Patent Examiner
November 8, 2002

GROUP 1200